23 December 19

OGC HAS REVIEWED.

MUNCHANDUM FOR THE ADSO

Payment of Travel Expenses from Unvousbered subjecti Punds

- le You requested the undersigned to examine the regulations and decisions concerning "travel stains" and the payment of per diem. In considering allowable travel expenses to be paid by Cla for exployees assigned to 050 and employed on unvouchered funds, there is for consideration the following:
 - (a) Special Funds Regulation No. l. Section 2.3(a), provides as follows:

"Travel expenses will be paid from unvouchered funds in the amount permitted by law and the Standardized Coverment Travel Hegulations. Ho relaburacent will be made for items of expense not allowable under such regulations . . . "

These regulations, of course, were issued by the Director of CIG and adopted for use by CIA.

(b) By Memorandum dated I January 1947, the Director of CIG delegated authority to the Assistant Sirector for Special Operations, or the Executive Officer for Special Operations, to approve:

*within the limits of unvouchered funds elletted to the Office of Special Operations by the Projects Review Committee and in ascordance with existing lass and regulations.

The transfer of civilian employees from one official station to another, and the payment or reimbursement of all expenses incident theretos . . .

"5. Travel by civilian employees incident to change of their official station.

(c) Special Funds Regulation Me. 1 provides for the appointment of certifying officers who must examine, approve, and partify all vouchers claiming relaburaement from unvouchered funds prior to payment. The certifying officer is authorized by the regulations to refer to the Chief, Special Funds Division, or other appropriate offiper, any question concerning the use of unvouchered Thindso Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070293-8

ADSO

25 December 1947

(d) Agent-Cashiers are provided for in Special Funds Regulation No. 1, and in addition to other duties, are authorized:

"Notwithstanding the presence of necessary approveds and certifications, bring to the attention of the Chief, Special Funda Section, or other appropriate officer, any facts which lead the agent-caubier to believe that the expenditure presented thereby is Amproper or illegal!".

- (e) In Special Funds Regulation No. 1, the General Counsel is charged with certain duties concerning the administration of unvouchered funda:
 - "(a) Upon the request of the Director, CIG. or other appropriate officially to render his opinion as to the legality of any payment or proposed payment;
 - "(b) Report to the Director, CIG, any facts tending to show the illegal or improper use of unvouchered funda;".
- The Subsistence Expense Act of 1926, as emended, provides in Section 3 as follows:

"Civilian officers and employees of the departments and actabhishments while traveling on official business and eway from their designated posts of duty shall be allowed in lies of their actual expenses for subsistence and all fees or tips to portors and stewards, a per diem allowence to be prescribed by the heads of the departments and establishments concerned at a rate not to expend \$6.00 within the limits of the continental United States and not to exceed an average of \$7.00 beyand the limits of the continental United States."

Paragraph 46 of the Studerdised Government Travel Regulations, provides as Rollows:

"Under no circumstances will per dies in lieu of substatence be allowed an employee at his official station."

It appears clear from the above that the Director has authorized unvouchered funds to be expended for travel expenses only in accordance with the Standardised Government Travel agulations. Also, the delegation of authority to you, and to your inscutive Officers provides for authority AUBO

-5-

23 Becember 1947

to direct travel and authorize the payment of travel expenses in encordance with existing laws and regulations. Further, certifying officers, as well as agent-cashiers, are required to ascertain that vouchers certified and payments made on such vouchers are in accordance with the Special Funds Regulations. The certifying officer is bonded and, is addition, may be held personally liable where he has certified a voucher as being in accordance with regulations and, in facts the voucher is not. In the event of questions, they are anthorized by the Director, in the Regulations, to refer questions to the Chief, Special Junes Division, or other appropriate officer. The General Counsel is authorized by the Director in the Special Funds Regulations, to render his opinion as to the legality of any payment or proposed payment. Therefore, when a voucher is presented for cortification to the certifying officer which appears questionable in any way, the certifying officer is authorized to request an opinion from the General Counsel concerning the legality of the proposed payment.

5. In the case which we discussed, the employee had been permanently employed in Washington from 17 February 1947. on 27 July 1947, she was transferred from her position as P-2, salary \$3,397.20, to CAP-6, salary \$5,021.00, with the intent of shipping her to an overseas station. Her transportation was schoduled, and she was to leave 27 September 1947. Prior to that date, her transportation was canceled, since her designation from the Department of State had not been pecured. In the meantime, she had shipped some of her clothing to the overseas station. However, she was able to reeleim some of the degrage and elothing which had been shipped and atstes that she incurred expenses amounting to \$56.Di in trips to Hew Jersey for this purpose. The employee also states that she had to spend the approximate total of \$200.00 for additional clothing to replace that which had been shipped to the oversess station. A further fact is that the employes had given notice to vacate her apartment. However, it is understood that she was able to stay in the apartment in which she had been residing and is presently living in that apartment.

entered into a travel status which would entitle her to a per diem allowance in lieu of subsistence if authorised by the appropriate official. As noted above, the Subsistence Expense Act of 1926 provides that employees of the Government be allowed a per diem allowance in lieu of actual

SECRET

LOSO

-6- 125 Docomber 1047

expenses for subsistence while traveling on official buginess and away from the employee's designated post of duty. This question was considered in 9 Comp. Gon. 233, 6 December 1929. In that case, the employee was employed in washington, and on 26 September 1929, she was trunsferred to another ocvernment agency, and her official headquarters was designated as Minnespolis, Minnesota, for the new position. Also, she was directed to remain in Eashington for a time and did remain there until 15 october 1929. she submisted a voucher covering per diem for the period 27 september to 13 october 1929. It was held that during the period for which the employee claimed per diom, she was not traveling on official business and, apparently, lived just as she had been living while employed by the Tovornment agency up to the time of her transfer. Consequently, the transfer did not operate to place nor in a travel status so as to entitle her to subsistance or per diem in lieu thereof. In 16 Comp. Cen Spt. 17 January 1936, it was held that when an employee is appointed and onters upon duty at the place of appointment, s travel status entitling him to reimbursement of thavel expenses or par diam in lieu of subsistence would not begin until he actually begins travel from that points

In that case, the employee had been employed in Washington until 80 June 1940. On that date, he was appointed in another Government agency and required to receive irraining and instruction in Washington for his field delies. On 19 June 1940, the training having been completed, he was directed to report to his field station at Atlanks, Georgia. The employee submitted a per disa vencion covering the period 21 June to 29 June 1940 while under instruction in Mashington. It was held that since the employee was residing in Washington at the time of uppointment, the fact that his departure was delayed a few days did not put him to any additional expense for subsistence, and, in any event, the decisions were consistent in solding that under such directances there is no authority for payment of per diem. It was stated:

"Accordingly, there is no suthority under which you could be paid per diem at your place of residence before entering upon a travel status."

 -5-

23 December 1947

AUSO

edministratively may be authorized for the temporary duty period up to the time of departure, whose, of course, the temporary duty required of the new employee is in the city of his residence (ZE Comp. Gen. 869, 8 Karch 1948). The Subsistence Expense Act does not contemplate the payment of a subsistence allowance for all employees at all times, the payment of which would sugment their salaries in contratte payment of which would sugment their salaries. (23 vention of Sections 1764 and 1765, Revised Statutes. (23 vention of Sections 1764 and 1765).

The decision in 25 comp. Gen. 461. 21 December 1945, illustrates the strictness with which the Comptroller General adheres to the provisions of law and regulations prohibiting the payment of por diem while at the employee was issued a employee. The facts were that the employee was issued a transfer order from Seattle, Bushington to Honolulus but in transfer order was required to perform temperary duty at the travel order was required to perform temperary duty at Santa Monica, california before proceeding to Honolulus Santa Monica to before the transfer was consummated, the employee was directed to return from his temperary duty station at Santa Monica to to return from his temperary duty station at Santa Monica to Seattle. In the meantime, the employee had given up his Seattle. In the meantime, the employee had given up his feattle. In the meantime, the employee had given up his request for per diem was submitted on behalf of the employee for the period of time spent by him in Seattle after returning from his temperary duty in Santa Monica. It was held:

"In the circumstances, the order directing his return to Seattle may be construed only as a suspension or cancellation of his previous order for transfer of headquarters, in which event Seattle continued to be headquarters, and no per diam in lieu of subsistence may be paid to him while on duty at Seattle."

The undersigned conducted a careful search of the Decisions of the Comptroller General concerning the payment of per diem to persons widle in a travel status and what constitutes a travel status. The decisions given shows are typical of the Opinions of the Comptroller General on the subject. Edvice was requested informally from the Department of State concerning the type of problem involved. se were advised that they had been unable to authorize a per diem for employees in this type of situation. In view of the above, if the certifying officer or agent-cashier involved in the payment of a voucher of this nature requested en opinion, we could say only that since the employee was at her official station and cannot be considered in a travel status, a per diem is not sutherized to be paid to her as long as she remains in Washingtone

Approved For Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070293-8

ADISO

-8-

23 December 1947

9. The specific regulations of the Director regarding the use of unvouchered funds, together with his specific directions to this office, require the opinion furnished herein. Where the circumstances are such that an employed is put to additional expense to the organization's orders occasioned by security or operational necessity, there would appear to be an exprepriate claim against the organization. There such claims are beyond the scope of the Special Punds Regulations, the claim necessarily requires the Director's approval before payment. If desued desirable, a proposed regulation to handle such eases could be prepared, and with the Director's approval could be administered whelly within of the necessary regulations to effect the above for presentation to the Director, GIA.

25X1A

Assistant Goneral Counsel

JSW:mos:mbt

